

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/769,588	HOFFBECK, LOREN JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ashwin Mehta	1638	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ashwin Mehta.

(2) Lila Akrad.
**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 May 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

None

**Prior art documents discussed:**


None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed amending the deposit statement on page 71 of the specification so that it mentions that the inbred parents of maize hybrid 35D28 will also be deposited with the ATCC. Applicant approved.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)